Sonos, Inc.'s Opp'n to Google LLC's Motion *In Limine* No. 4

EXHIBIT D (FILED UNDER SEAL)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

GOOGLE LLC, Plaintiff,	
v. SONOS, INC.,	Case No. 3:20-cv-06754-WHA Related to Case No. 3:21-cv-07559-WHA
Defendant.	

REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORT REGARDING DAMAGES

January 13, 2023

Respectfully Submitted,

Washewell

W. Christopher Bakewell

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intro	duction	1
	1.1	Qualifications And Experience	1
	1.2	Overview Of Assignment	2
	1.3	Summary Of Opinions	6
	1.4	Facts, Data And Information Considered	13
2	Back	groundground	15
	2.1	Overview Of The Patents-in-Suit	15
		Overview Of The '033 Patent	16
		Overview Of The '885 Patent And '966 Patent	18
	2.2	Overview Of Sonos	21
	2.3	Overview Of Google	22
	2.4	Overview Of Accused Products And Accused Functionalities	23
		'033 Patent: Overview Of The Accused Functionality	23
		'885 Patent: Overview Of The Accused Functionality (Directed To Spec Groups)	
		'966 Patent: Overview Of The Accused Functionality (Directed "Computing Devices")	
		Google Internal Code Names	38
	2.5	Overview Of The Competitive Environment	39
3	Over	all Framework For Determining Patent Infringement Damages	52
	3.1	Overall Framework	52
	3.2	The Hypothetical Negotiation(s)	55
		'033 Patent: Hypothetical Negotiation Date	56
		'885 And '966 Patents: Hypothetical Negotiation Dates	56
	3.3	Form Of Royalties	57
4	Intro	duction To Rebuttal Analysis	60
	4.1	Recap Of Mr. Malackowski's Theories, Including Basic Errors Contain	ined
		Therein	60
		'033 Patent: Summary Of Mr. Malackowski's Theories	60
		'885 And '966 Patents: Summary Of Mr. Malackowski's Theories	68
		Overview Of Mr. Malackowski's "Revenue Splits"	75

	4.2	'033 Patent: Mr. Malackowski's Damages Theories Are Conceptually Unsound
		And Unreliable
	4.3	'885 And '966 Patents: Mr. Malackowski's Damages Theories Are
		Conceptually Unsound And Unreliable
5	Inco	me Approach: Mr. Malackowski's Damages Theories Are Speculative, Flawed
An	d Unr	eliable104
	5.1	'033 Patent: Mr. Malackowski's "Primary" Theory (YouTube Advertising And
		Subscription Revenue) Is Unreliable And Yields Inflated Results106
		Mr. Malackowski's Starting Point Is Unreasonably Broad107
		Mr. Malackowski's "MDx Playback Time Share" Is Flawed And Unreliable 110
		Mr. Malackowski's 70:30 "Revenue Split" Is Unfounded, Unreliable And Not Substitute For Apportionment
		Mr. Malackowski's Implied Royalty Rate Is Speculative, Overstated And Unreliable
	5.2	'033 Patent: Mr. Malackowski's "Informatory" Theory (YouTube Advertising
		And Subscription Gross Profits) Is Unreliable And Yields Inflated Results 114
	5.3	'885 And '966 Patents: Mr. Malackowski's Royalty Theory Is Unreasonable
		And Yields Inflated Results
		Mr. Malackowski Disregarded Other Evidence Of Limited Incremental Benefits
		Mr. Malackowski's Royalty Base For The '885 Patent Is Flawed And Unreliable
		Mr. Malackowski's Royalty Base For The '966 Patent Is Flawed And Unreliable
		Mr. Malackowski's Starting Point Is Too Broad Which Causes Him To Make A Series Of Unreliable "Apportionments"
		Mr. Malackowski's 10% "Apportionment Metric" Is Flawed And Unreliable140
		Mr. Malackowski's "Lifetime Value" Estimate Is Speculative, Flawed And Unreliable
		Mr. Malackowski's Feature Based "Apportionment Metric" Of Three Or More Smart Speakers Is Flawed And Unreliable
		Mr. Malackowski's 70:30 "Revenue Split" Is Speculative, Flawed And Unreliable
6	Cost	Approach: Mr. Malackowski Disregarded Commercially Acceptable NIAs And

Th	e Princ	iple Of Substitution			
	6.1	'033 Patent: Analysis Of Commercially Acceptable, Non-Infringing			
		Alternatives			
		Commercially Acceptable NIA Category A1: Cloud Service, Not Playbar Device, Communicates With Cloud Servers To Identify Next One Or Modelia Items In The Remote Playback Queue			
		Commercially Acceptable NIA Category A2: Continue Playback On The Computing Device After Playback Is Transferred ("Fire And Forget") 156			
	6.2	'885 'And 966 Patents: Analysis Of Commercially Acceptable, Non-Infringing			
		Alternatives			
		Commercially Acceptable NIA Category B1: When An Accused "Standalone" Speaker Is Added To A Target Group, It Matches The Music (Or Silence) Of The Target Group			
		Commercially Acceptable NIA Category B2: No Overlapping Groups 165			
7	Mark	et Approach: Mr. Malackowski's Analysis Is Flawed And Unreliable 169			
	7.1	Mr. Malackowski Mistreated Sonos Licensing Evidence			
		Mr. Malackowski Mistreated The 2018 Sonos – Denon Agreement 171			
		Mr. Malackowski Mistreated The 2020 Sonos – Lenbrook Agreement 172			
		Mr. Malackowski Mistreated The 2020 Sonos – Legrand Agreement 174			
	7.2	Mr. Malackowski Mistreated Sonos Licensing Negotiations With Google 177			
	7.3	Mr. Malackowski's Treatment Of Google Patent Licenses Is Flawed180			
		Mr. Malackowski Mistreated The 2012 — Google Agreement			
		Mr. Malackowski Mistreated The 2013 Garnet – Google Agreement 182			
		Mr. Malackowski Mistreated The 2014 — Google Agreement — Google Agreement			
		184			
		Mr. Malackowski Mistreated The 2017 ————————————————————————————————————			
		Mr. Malackowski Mistreated The 2019 — Google Agreement			
	7.4	Mr. Malackowski's Rationale For Dismissing Google Patent Purchase			
		Agreements Is Unsound			
		Mr. Malackowski And The Invention Investment Fund I Patent Acquisitions			
		Mr. Malackowski Mistreated The 2011 Outland Research - Google Agreement			

Mr. Malackowski Mistreated The 2016 — Google Agreement199
Mr. Malackowski And The 2017 — Google Agreement204
7.5 '033 Patent: Mr. Malackowski's "Alternative" Theory (Third-Party Casting
Apps) Is Flawed And Unreliable204
Mr. Malackowski's Royalty Base Is Flawed And Unreliable207
Mr. Malackowski's Royalty Rate Based On Subscription Fees Of Third- Party Casting Apps Is Flawed And Unreliable208
Mr. Malackowski's 70:30 "Revenue Split" Is Speculative, Flawed And Unreliable
8 Potential Baseline Measures Of Reasonable Royalties (Mr. Malackowski's
"Quantitative Indicators")
9 Mr. Malackowski's Assessment Of The Georgia-Pacific Factors Is Unsound 221
G-P Factor 1: Royalties Received By The Patentee
G-P Factor 2: Royalties Paid By The Licensee For The Use Of Other Patents
Comparable To The Patents-In-Suit
G-P Factor 3: Nature and Scope Of The License
G-P Factor 4: Licensor's Established Policy And Marketing Program224
G-P Factor 5: Commercial Relationship Between The Licensor And The Licensee
225
G-P Factor 6: Convoyed, "Tag-Along," And Collateral Sales234
G-P Factor 7: Duration Of The Patent And Term Of The License239
G-P Factor 8: Established Profitability Of The Patented Product, Its Commercial
Success, And Its Current Popularity240
G-P Factor 9: Utility And Advantages Over Old Modes Or Devices; And244
GP Factor 10: Nature Of Intellectual Property, Character Of The Commercial
Embodiment, And Benefits Of Use244
G-P Factor 11: Extent Of Use And Evidence Probative Of The Value Of The Use
Mr. Malackowski Did Not Measure Usage For '033 Patent248
Mr. Malackowski Did Not Measure Usage For '885 And '966 Patents252
Summary Of G-P Factor 11
G-P Factor 12: Profitability And Industry Royalty Rates

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

11	Reservation of Rights	271
10	Other Issues In The Malackowski Report	268
	G-P Factor 15: Outcome Of The Hypothetical Negotiation(s)	262
	G-P Factor 14: Opinion Of Qualified Experts	262
	G-P Factor 13: Apportionment	255

which include wireless, portable, and home theater speakers, components and accessories. 98 Sonos's "target audience is primarily audiophiles and wealthier households." 99

- 67. Sonos describes itself on its website as a "pioneer of wireless audio." Sonos has a network of partners including Amazon, IKEA, Sonance and Audi, among others. 101
- 68. According to the Complaint, Sonos is a leading company in terms of granted U.S. patents, having more than 940 patents, including the patents-in-suit, with hundreds more patents in other countries.¹⁰² Sonos also states that its patent portfolio is highly valuable.¹⁰³

2.3 Overview Of Google

- 69. Headquartered in Mountain View, California and incorporated in September 1998, Google originated as an internet search engine; the company has grown to be a global enterprise cloud computing provider.¹⁰⁴
- 70. Google's mission is to "to organize the world's information and make it universally accessible and useful." Google operates a product and service ecosystem that includes operating

⁹⁸ "Sonos: Lost The Battle Before It Started," SeekingAlpha.com, March 21, 2019 (accessed: https://seekingalpha.com/article/4250335-sonos-lost-battle-started).

⁹⁹ Sonos, Inc.'s 10-Q for quarter ended April 2, 2022, p. 8; "Sonos, Inc. (NASDAQ: SONO)," USIT, June 21, 2020, p. 1; SONOS-SVG2-00055769, at 777, 785. Sonos products are sold through "third-party physical retailers, including custom installers of home audio systems, select e-commerce retailers, and its website, sonos.com."

^{100 &}quot;About Sonos," Sonos website (accessed: https://www.sonos.com/en-us/our-company).

¹⁰¹ SONOS-SVG2-00226112-209, at 118-119, 146, 148, 158; Sonos's Form 10-K for fiscal year ended December 31, 2021, pp. 5-6, 7, 31-32, 33.

¹⁰² Malackowski Supplemental Report, p. 13, citing Sonos, Inc.'s Third Amended Complaint, *Sonos, Inc., v. Google, LLC*, 3:21-c-07559, March 30, 2022, p. 2. As of December 2022, Google held over 31,000 active U.S. patents, and over 16,000 active foreign patents. Innography Patent Search, (accessed: https://patentscout.innography.com/); Alphabet Inc. Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019, p.

¹⁰³ Sonos, Inc.'s Third Amended Complaint, *Sonos, Inc., v. Google, LLC*, 3:21-c-07559, March 30, 2022, p. 2, citing Exhibits E and F. I note that neither Sonos nor Mr. Malackowski have claimed that the patents-in-suit are among its most valuable patents.

¹⁰⁴ Google held an initial public offering in August 2004. In 2015, through corporate restructuring, Google Inc. became a subsidiary of Alphabet Inc. ("Alphabet"). In 2017, Google Inc. was converted to the limited liability company, Google LLC, which became a subsidiary of XXVI Holdings Inc., which was a subsidiary of Alphabet. Google Inc., Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, p. 18; Alphabet Inc., Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2021, p. 25.

¹⁰⁵ Google, Inc. Form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2021, p. 4.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL-ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

systems, streaming services and mobile devices. Over the years, Google has continued to improve "the discovery and creation of digital content" though platforms such as YouTube and Google Play. 107

71. Google has made significant advances in search, mobile computing, artificial intelligence, natural language processing, machine learning, voice-assisted technologies and analytics. ¹⁰⁸ Google technologies are included in household products such as smart home speakers (*e.g.*, Google Home), smart displays (*e.g.*, Nest Hub), smart mesh router systems (*e.g.*, Nest Wifi Point), smart dongles (*e.g.*, Chromecast), smartphones (*e.g.*, Google Pixel), tablets (*e.g.*, Google Pixel Slate), and laptops ((*e.g.*, Google Pixelbook), among other products. ¹⁰⁹

2.4 Overview Of Accused Products And Accused Functionalities

72. I provide a brief overview of the accused functionalities and products for the patents-in-suit in the following paragraphs.

'033 Patent: Overview Of The Accused Functionality

73. At a high level, I understand that Sonos alleges the accused products for the '033 patent include: (i) Pixel devices pre-installed with the YouTube Main and/or YouTube Music apps that allegedly directly infringe the asserted claims of the '033 patent; (ii) smartphones, tablets or laptops (including Android, iOS and ChromeOS devices) "provisioned" with the YouTube Main, YouTube Music, YouTube Kids or YouTube TV apps that allegedly indirectly infringe

^{106 &}quot;Google Products," Google (accessed: https://about.google/products/#all-products); "Google Products," Google Store (accessed: https://store.google.com/?hl=en-US); "Google LLC," Capital IQ (accessed: https://www.capitaliq.com/CIQDotNet/company.aspx?companyId=312932093&fromSearchProfiles=True); "The Ecosystem of Google Technologies," CIO Review (accessed:

https://google.cioreview.com/cxoinsight/the-ecosystem-of-google-technologies-nid-5859-cid-82.html).

¹⁰⁷ Google, Inc. Form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2021, p. 4.

¹⁰⁸ Alphabet Inc. Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2021, pp. 4-6 (accessed: https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1652044/000165204422000019/goog-20211231.htm); "Stairway to Heaven," BNP Paribas, April 21, 2021, p. 7; "Google CEO Still Insists AI Revolution Bigger Than Invention Of Fire," Gizmodo, July 14, 2021 (accessed: https://gizmodo.com/google-ceo-still-insists-ai-revolution-bigger-than-inve-1847288454); "Overcoming The Innovation Readiness Gap," BCG Consulting, April 2021 (accessed: https://web-assets.bcg.com/d5/ef/ea7099b64b89860fd1aa3ec4ff34/bcg-most-innovative-companies-2021-apr-2021-r.pdf).

¹⁰⁹ GOOG-SONOSNDCA-00057035; GOOG-SONOSNDCA-00056973; SONOS-SVG2-00055681-768, at 738-739; Alphabet Inc. Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2020, p. 6; "Stairway to Heaven," Exane BNP Paribas, April 21, 2021, p. 7.